

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1
Name: SD Redmond
2 Address: 210 S. Ellsworth Ave, #1275
3 San Mateo, CA 94401
4 Phone Number: 510-868-2862
<http://scotts-resume.com>

5
EVIDENCE/EXHIBIT COPIES AT:
6 <https://www.majestic111.com>
7 <http://www.the-truth-about-the-dept-of-energy.com>
8 <https://san-francisco-news.com>
<http://www.federal-report.com>

9
*PLAINTIFF, A Pro Se, disabled, non-lawyer, federal witness who has requested Court appointed
counsel*

10
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
(UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION)

13
14 SD REDMOND, a Pro Se non-lawyer federal
whistle-blower/witness

15 PLAINTIFF,

16 v.

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18
20 DEFENDANTS.

) CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH
)
) EXHIBIT - DAMAGES CALCULATION
) METRICS
)
) INTENTIONAL FRAUD; CONCEALMENT
) FRAUD; NEGLIGENT
) MISREPRESENTATION; INTENTIONAL
) INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
) RICO RACKETEERING;
) ANTI-TRUST/MONOPOLY; COURT
) ORDER DEMANDED FOR FULL SSDI
) BACK PAYMENTS; AND SUCH OTHER
) CLAIMS LISTED HEREIN UNDER
“CLAIMS” SECTION

22 Filed: June 10, 2022

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

23
24 ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS TO BE FILED
25 ELECTRONICALLY AND NOW
26 AVAILABLE, ON THE WEB, TO THE
27 PUBLIC, GLOBALLY, AT LINKS
28 ABOVE AND MIRROR SITES

EXHIBIT - DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1
2 Every known law enforcement, regulatory, news outlet and citizen sleuth group in America
3 is now, and has been, investigating this case. This has led to a massive amount of documented
4 evidence now available to Plaintiff. While many of those entities are seeking to cover-up the facts in
5 these crimes, corruptions and abuses of Plaintiff, the rest are doing their jobs and exposing the
6 Defendants via hard evidence deep probe investigations.
7

8 **While the FBI, FTC, DOJ and FEC are supposed to objectively prosecute this case, it is**
9 **impossible for them to be objective when their raises, promotions, house payments and stock**
10 **market profits are entirely determined by the individuals we are charging with these crimes.**
11 **Only an impartial jury can resolve this matter!**

12 As part of the resulting data, evidence and precedents have been revealed which clearly
13 validate a monetary value to the damages Plaintiff has suffered. This exhibit capsulizes some of
14 those comps and validations.
15

16 Compensation is demanded by Plaintiff for damages, monies owed, witness fees, legal
17 expenses, whistle-blower fees, informant fees and with-held benefits monies.
18

19 This case involves the sale and trading of stocks, bonds, mutual funds, and other securities;
20 quid pro quo political bribery; election manipulation; monopoly and anti-trust law violation; bodily
21 harm and other criminal matters applicable to every law enforcement and regulatory agency. No
22 agency may refuse, or seek to re-direct, this case, to another agency, because it falls within the
23 purview of every law enforcement and regulatory agency in the USA. This case also falls within the
24 purview of multi-national enforcement under Interpol, FinCEN, EU, etc.
25

26 This is not a single-agency matter. No agency has the right to seek to shove this case off to
27 another agency or cover-up this matter at the expense of citizen Constitutional and human rights.
28

This case involves different organizations who are in contest to control the United States
government and the financial and power benefits therefrom.

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 This case involves the control of the White House and the existence, or termination, of the
2 power in the Oval Office.

3 Victim witnessed an organized criminal enterprise which affected the entire U.S. Treasury.
4 The crime scheme involved: stock market manipulation, corrupt international mining deals and
5 media company power manipulations. When he reported the crime, millions of dollars of state-
6 sponsored reprisal attacks were launched against him in vendetta.

7 Related cases shed illuminate the depths of criminality and the scope of crimes and illicit
8 deeds by the colluding perpetrators and their crime culture:

9 In federal **Case No. 1:20-cv-03010** – you see proof that perpetrator Google is monopoly and
10 runs competitor attacks. In **Case No. 11-CV-2509** - <https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-antitrust-litigation/> - you see proof that the Silicon Valley
11 Cartel colludes to harm others. In Task Force Case **No. 20-xyz2020a** -
12 <http://www.majestic111.com> - you see proof that this “Silicon Valley Cartel” is a RICO law
13 violating entity. In **Case No. 20-03664** -

14 <https://www.insurancejournal.com/app/uploads/2020/06/brown-v-google.pdf> – you see further
15 evidence that the Google-Youtube-Alphabet organization operates as a racketeering entity that
16 controls portions of the government.

17 In Case No. **1:12-CV-00774-mms** and related cases - <https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism> – You see that criminal
18 referrals against the attackers have been filed with the FBI, DOJ, SEC, FEC, FTC and that insider
19 cronyism at the Department of Energy is accelerating at a rapid pace up and including the recent
20 Granholm investigations.

21 Additional court cases, available on www.pacer.gov, have filed extensive further evidence
22 proving Plaintiff's assertions. Such key cases include:

23 **Case No. 18-cv-8865** (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Elon Musk for lies and scams)

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **Case No. 18-cv-8947** (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Tesla, Inc. for lies and scams)

2 **Case No. 1:14-cv-270143** (Google racketeering charges -

3 https://artistrightswatch.com/2017/10/08/googles-racketeering-challenge/)

4 **Case No. 1:19-cr-00490** (United States v. Epstein - Big tech sex cult crimes case)

5 **Case No. 129 So.3d 1196** (Fla. 2d DCA 2014); 170 So.3d 125 (Fla. 2d DCA 2015)

6 (Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea in which Gawker, Deadspin, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Jezebel, Kotaku
7 and Lifehacker were exposed as character assassination and money-laundering fronts working for
8 notorious third parties)

9
10 **Case No. 19-cv-343672** James Martin (on behalf of ALPHABET INC) v Larry Page et al
11 (Sex Cults In Silicon Valley)

12 **Case No. CGC-11-508414**, California Superior Court, San Francisco (Plaintiff v Google)

13 **Case No. 3:16-cv-03061** U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
14 CALIFORNIA, San Francisco Division (Plaintiff V. Google/Alphabet/YouTube)

15 **Case No. 18-CIV05380** Rubin Vs. Rubin (Google sex cult and sex slave charges)

16 **Case No. : 1:17 - cv - 06404** Vs. Rubin (Organized crime sex trafficking by stock market
17 manipulators)

18
19 **Case No. D.C. No. 3:17-cv-05369 - VC** (Big tech harassment of outsiders)

20 **Case No. 3:21-cv-00077** (Another of many lawsuits proving that the Silicon Valley Cartel
21 conspires to manipulate media and markets)

22 This is a felony-grade criminal racketeering case. White House, Department of Energy,
23 Department of State and other California State and Federal government agency staff coordinated
24 with their political campaign financier/beneficiaries from Solyndra, Tesla, Fisker, Facebook,
25 Google, YouTube, Netflix, Linkedin, Alphabet, Kleiner Perkins, Greylock, Goldman Sachs and
26 other PAC-aligned Silicon Valley businesses to operate a RICO-law and Antitrust-law violating
27 illicit business “Cartel”. The operation of this Cartel has been proven by leaked emails, whistle-

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 blowers, lawsuits and FBI-type forensic accounting.

2 This illicit organization had planned to skim trillions of dollars of exclusive profits from
3 government contracts, political payola, foreign rare earth mining operations, internet news and
4 search manipulation deals, revolving-door deals and massive computerized algorithm-based stock
5 market manipulations. The Defendants schemes, particularly, planned to exploit funds from the
6 2008 and 2021 “Stimulus Bills”. Plaintiff’s, government employee/contractors, competed with these
7 entities, with superior technology at lower prices, and were targeted by this Cartel, for termination.
8

9 Plaintiff’s became information providers and whistle-blowers for investigators in the
10 examination of this crime. Defendants expended vast amounts of corporate and taxpayer money and
11 resources harming Plaintiffs in reprisal vendetta attacks. Over a million pages of evidence and proof
12 are provided on the case website. Damages and attacks on Plaintiff continue to today.
13
14

15 **The Blockade of Plaintiff Legal Rights And The 16 Blockade of Their Legal Representation**

17

20 **Plaintiff’s demand a state-funded lawyer**

21

22
23 A. Plaintiffs have been “black-listed” from getting legal representation. Even though the law in
24 California (Cal. Lab. Code § § 1050 to 1053) says that an entity *can’t* prevent or attempt to prevent
25 former workers from getting work or representation through misrepresentation, knowingly
26 permitting or failing to take reasonable steps to prevent blacklisting, or make a statement about why
27 an employee was discharged or left employment, implying something other than what is explicitly
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 said, or providing information that was not requested: ***It is done every day in Silicon Valley.*** The
2 "Silicon Valley No Poaching Black-List" class-action lawsuit, the "AngelGate Scandal
3 Investigation" , and many other notorious scandals and books are about this issue. Federal FAR
4 Section 9.104-1 (d), and related laws, apply. Blacklisting is a key part of the IC Vendetta Cycle
5 attacks. The victims also suffered damage to their rights under the Age Discrimination in
6 Employment Act (ADEA) (29 USC Sec. 621, et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC
7 Sec. 12181, et seq.); the Civil Rights Acts - (42 USC Sec. 2000, et seq.); the Davis-Bacon Act (40
8 USC Sec. 276a, et seq.); the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) (29 USC Sec.
9 1001, et seq.); the Equal Pay Act (29 USC Sec. 206[d]) and other violations.
10
11

12 **B.** Each and every law firm capable of handling Plaintiffs case has been contracted, paid and/or
13 influenced so that they are "conflicted out" from representing Plaintiffs. Law firm Mofo was
14 threatened if they helped Plaintiffs. Lawyer Amy Anderson was threatened and lost her license for
15 attempting to help Plaintiffs. Every lawyer or law firm who attempts to help Plaintiffs is hired by
16 Defendants, or their agents and threatened or compromised in order to prevent them from helping
17 Plaintiffs because this case affects trillions of dollars of energy industry profits, the White House,
18 billionaire oligarchs and multi millionaire corrupt Senators. In fact, this issue lies at the root of this
19 entire corruption case. Sociopath over-moneyed Silicon Valley oligarchs have hire Morrison
20 Foerster, Wilson Sonsini, Perkins Coie, Covington Burling and every other major law firm and
21 lobbyist and told them to "*kill everyone and destroy everything that I don't like...*". These law firms
22 (controlled by Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Steve Westly, Vinod
23 Khosla, Laurene Powell Jobs, Nancy Pelosi, etc. All of whom have nearly a trillion dollars of funds
24 at their disposal) have carte blanche and unlimited payments to run coups, character assassination
25 campaigns, money laundering and other crimes for the oligarchs. They have the staffing to do these
26 things and zero incentive to not do crimes. These law firms are the dirty deeds teams for the
27
28 things and zero incentive to not do crimes. These law firms are the dirty deeds teams for the

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 mobster-like suspects and there is no law enforcement body with the will nor resources to stop
2 them. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution to tell Plaintiffs to “go get a law firm” when EVERY
3 possible, equitable, law firm works for, and is massively compensated by, the criminals that need to
4 be sued. Both the politicians AND the tech oligarchs charged with these crimes have hired the very
5 law firms that government agencies have told Plaintiffs to go out and hire.

6
7 **C.** There is a precedent that was set in the US Supreme Court case: Gideon v. Wainwright, (1963)
8 that clarifies that you have a right to a lawyer even if you don't have money for one. The Sixth
9 Amendment, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause gives
10 one their Due Process rights. One needs to kill someone, though, to most easily get your free
11 lawyer, since the court-appointed lawyer is rarely ever appointed, on citizens behalf, in a case like
12 this. Even if a defendant is represented by an attorney of his or her choosing, he or she may be
13 entitled to relief on appeal if the attorney did not provide adequate representation. A defendant must
14 demonstrate that the attorney's performance “fell below an objective standard of reasonableness”
15 and that this was prejudicial to the case. See:
16
17

18 *Strickland v. Washington* (<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/466/668/case.html>) , 466
19 U.S. 668, 688-92 (1984). A few “free lawyers”, that victims had tried, turned out to be working for
20 the opposition side.
21
22

23 **D.** The federal organization: <https://www.lsc.gov> is required to help but has refused because it's
24 administrators were friends with, and appointed by, the public officials charged with corruption in
25 this case.
26
27
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **E.** Plaintiffs have personally asked the Attorney General, The Director of the FBI and the U.S.
2 Attorney's office for representation but they have been told not to respond because the case is
3 politically embarrassing to major public officials and their corrupt Silicon Valley financiers.

4
5 **F.** The government agencies who have told Plaintiffs that Plaintiffs should "*hire a law firm and sue*
6 *them*", in order to resolve this matter, are the same government agencies that have cut-off, or
7 blockaded Plaintiffs income sources in order to prevent Plaintiffs from being able to afford to hire a
8 law firm to sue them.

9
10 **G.** A federal agency provided Plaintiffs with a list of "free lawyers". Upon FBI-level investigation
11 of every "free lawyer" on the list, (via their financial contributions, voting records, leaked emails,
12 social media postings, event attendance, public records, social connections lists online and other
13 surveillance data) they all worked for, or with the opposition interests and could not have possibly
14 provided unbiased services. In fact, multiple lawyers have contacted Plaintiffs who turned out to be
15 working for the opposition. They were sent in to delay, or redirect, Plaintiffs in order to keep the
16 cases from being filed or properly prosecuted in order to protect the suspects. This is a common
17 infiltration procedure widely documented in CIA, British secret service, Russian FSB and Snowden
18 leaks documents on IC dirty tricks tactics.

19
20 **H.** Agency staff were ordered to harm Applicants by manipulating their benefits in order to deny,
21 delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a
22 political corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff
23 and up to the director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-vendetta-revenge action to
24 harm Applicants.

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 Applicant's peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the
2 assertions have been proven in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media
3 investigations. Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing
4 investigation data and fair responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal
5 cover-up which has now been update-reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and
6 investigative reporters.

7
8 Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and
9 DOE have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to
10 comply, unlike every other agency. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their
11 personal relationships "happens" to be with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony
12 criminal investigations. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-
13 routing, Interpol records and SEC investigation records proves it!

14
15
16 Unfortunately for the crooked agency staff, some Applicants have the authority, law enforcement
17 credentials and training to arrest any person at their home or office and remand them to the FBI,
18 DOJ or Sheriff. For example: Every "unsigned" anonymous government email is tracked to the
19 individual author by their IP address, device IMEI, web camera, building key card, door camera,
20 parking lot use chart, building camera, vehicle tracking circuits, text dba records, keyboard UI/UX
21 patterns, motherboard ID #, DNS routing, stingray read-outs and a vast number of other metrics.
22
23 Applicant investigation peers know the exact person that wrote every government email or
24 document or file request. There is no longer such a thing as an anonymous government email.
25 "Transparency is the Applicants middle name." The FBI and CIA people that insiders think are their
26 "buddies" may actually be the APPLICANTS buddies as victims have a relationship with the IC!
27
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

Agencies And Authorities Previously Contacted

For years, victim/plaintiffs, and their advocates and peers, have contacted every government agency and authority listed in government directories, which may have any jurisdiction over this case. The usual response has been cover-ups, finger-pointing, stone-walling, obfuscation, failure-to-reply or other tactics to delay the inevitable. This has forced victim/plaintiffs to use social media and novel distribution technologies to present their case to every registered voter in the public.

Agency officials who were supposed to be helping victim/plaintiffs have been exposed taking bribes from victim/plaintiff's enemies and adversaries in this case. Certain California State officials, Obama White House Staff and Federal Agency staff accepted bribes from Silicon Valley Oligarchs and Investment Bank Cartels. They were bribed with: Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conducted for donors; Gallery art; Private jet rides and the use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Recreational drugs; Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington &

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials; Payroll W2 and 1099 payments which were actually bribe payments for political work such as character assassinations and internet rigging; and other means now documented by us, The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists.

A partial list of such reports filed by victim/plaintiffs to report the crime and demand compensation includes. Certified mail, Fedex receipt mail and digitally tracked electronic communications were used. Hundreds of public officials have been contacted, with documented proof, to date:

National Archives – Case documents, demands and evidence for this case have been filed with the National Archives with reference and tracking numbers so that any member of the public can pull up copies for historical reference and future research about corruption in the 2000's.

San Francisco Police Department – A case number was issued and the case was referred to the Northern District Station. The case has been stalled for years as San Francisco Public officials are suspects in the crime. Recent arrests by U.S. Attorney Anderson, do indicate some progress but victim/plaintiff has had no recent out-reach.

San Mateo Police Department – Most of the crime organizer/beneficiary/financiers live in San Mateo County and letters have been sent to the SMPD about their actions. One of them: Elon Musk, recently moved from San Mateo, California to the State of Texas in order to delay prosecution.

Federal Bureau of Investigation – Victim/plaintiffs have spoken and met with the FBI on multiple occasions. Some FBI staff, and former employees have assisted but no indication of action has been revealed officially by the FBI. Victim/Plaintiffs have invoiced the FBI for witness and informant fees but the FBI has been non-responsive. Victim/plaintiffs were licensed investigators who participated in past undercover operations.

Congressional Investigation Hearings – Victim/plaintiffs have reported to and caused the launch of numerous Congressional hearings which validated plaintiffs assertions but Congressional

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 hearings do not award damages to victims. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-
2 blower and informant compensation. Victim provided much data to **The House Committee On**
3 **Oversight And Government Reform.**

4 **Federal Court System** – Victim/plaintiffs have been witnesses and/or in a plaintiffs group
5 in famous law suits and class actions and while the cases were “won” or proven in favor of
6 plaintiff’s assertions, no compensation has been forthcoming to the victim due to conflicts-of-
7 interest with administration officials and the blockade of victim’s legal representation due to the
8 fear of political embarrassment by Administration officials and their Silicon Valley financiers!

9 **The GAO** – Victim/plaintiff was an interviewed witness/whistle-blower for the GAO. The
10 GAO has published multiple reports condemning some of the suspects in this case. Government
11 Accountability Office OIG has submitted questions but has not informed victim of any definitive
12 actions. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant
13 compensation.

14 **Senator Dianne Feinstein** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

15 **Senator Nancy Pelosi** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

16 **Senator Jared Huffman** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

17 **Jerry Brown’s Justice Department Lead: Ken Alex** – Reported to yet involved in a
18 conflict-of-interest in this case

19 **Barack Obama** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

20 **U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in
21 this case

22 **FBI Director James Comey** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

23 **Department of Energy Inspector General** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-
24 interest in this case

25 **Secretary of Energy Steven Chu** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 case

2 **White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-
3 interest in this case

4 **White House Advisor David Axelrod** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in
5 this case

6 **California Attorney General Kamala Harris** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-
7 interest in this case

8 **Senator Barbara Boxer** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

9 **California Secretary of State's Office** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest
10 in this case

11 **California Crime Victims Board** – vcgcb.ca.gov – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-
12 of-interest in this case

13 **United States Department of Justice** – Obama Administration – Reported to yet involved
14 in a conflict-of-interest in this case. On January 29, 2019, the DOJ OIG did cite multiple senior FBI
15 officials were manipulating cases for special interests. The San Francisco head of the FBI that
16 victim's had been communicating with, was recently replaced by a new person (Bennett). FBI agent
17 Dunne told victim that this was a “multi-year investigation...”. Invoices have been filed by victim
18 for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation.

19 **SEC** – Obama Administration- – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this
20 case. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation.

21 **CFTC** – Obama Administration - – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this
22 case. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation.

23 **Secret Service** – Obama Administration- – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest
24 in this case

25 **All news reporters** – Over 100,000 news reports, documentary films and articles, proving

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 the victim's assertions have been published and broadcast but such news reports do not provide cash
2 compensation to victim

3 **AbilityOne - OIG**

4 **Board of Governors for the Federal Reserve System & Consumer Financial Protection**
5 **Bureau**

6 **OIG**

7 **Commodity Futures Trading Commission OIG**

8 **Consumer Product Safety Commission OIG**

9 **Corporation for National & Community Service OIG**

10 **Corporation for Public Broadcasting OIG**

11 **Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency**

12 **Department of Agriculture OIG**

13 **Department of Commerce OIG**

14 **Department of Defense OIG**

15 **Department of Education OIG**

16 **Department of Energy OIG**

17 **Department of Health & Human Services OIG**

18 **Department of Homeland Security OIG**

19 **Department of Housing and Urban Development OIG**

20 **Department of Justice OIG**

21 **Department of Labor OIG**

22 **Department of State and Broadcasting Board of Governors OIG**

23 **Department of the Interior OIG**

24 **Department of the Treasury OIG**

25 **Department of Transportation OIG**

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

- 1 **Department of Veterans Affairs OIG**
- 2 **Election Assistance Commission OIG**
- 3 **Environmental Protection Agency OIG**
- 4 **Equal Employment Opportunity Commission OIG•**
- 5 **Export-Import Bank OIG**
- 6 **Farm Credit Administration OIG**
- 7 **Federal Communications Commission OIG**
- 8 **Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation OIG**
- 9 **Federal Election Commission OIG**
- 10 **Federal Housing Finance Agency OIG**
- 11 **Federal Labor Relations Authority OIG**
- 12 **Federal Maritime Commission OIG**
- 13 **Federal Trade Commission OIG**
- 14 **General Services Administration OIG**
- 15 **Government Publishing Office OIG**
- 16 **Intelligence Community OIG**
- 17 **International Trade Commission OIG**
- 18 **Legal Services Corporation OIG**
- 19 **Library of Congress OIG**
- 20 **National Aeronautics and Space Administration OIG**
- 21 **National Archives and Records Administration OIG**
- 22 **National Credit Union Administration OIG**
- 23 **National Endowment for the Arts OIG**
- 24 **National Endowment for the Humanities OIG**
- 25 **National Labor Relations Board OIG**

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **National Science Foundation OIG**

2 **Nuclear Regulatory Commission OIG**

3 **Office of Personnel Management OIG**

4 **Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation OIG**

5 **Postal Regulatory Commission OIG**

6 **Railroad Retirement Board OIG**

7 **Securities and Exchange Commission OIG**

8 **Small Business Administration OIG**

9 **Smithsonian Institution OIG**

10 **Social Security Administration OIG**

11 **Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction**

12 **Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program**

13 **Tennessee Valley Authority OIG**

14 **Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration**

15 **U.S. Agency for International Development OIG**

16 **Bill Cooper, U.S. Department of Energy General Counsel**

17 **San Francisco FBI officer Patricia Rich**

18 **San Francisco FBI office, 450 Golden Gate, Duty Officer**

19 **San Francisco FBI office Director David Johnson**

20 **42+ Different officials at GAO per their direct emails**

21 **16+ different officials at the SEC per their direct emails**

22 **Secretary of Energy Moniz**

23 **Margrethe Vestager, EU**

24 **Rep. Jackie Speier** – She sent a letter saying she had checked and federal authorities were
25 looking into things

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder**
2 **FBI Director James Comey**
3 **DOJ - Inspector General**
4 **Cecelia Howell, Office of Investor Education & Advocacy, SEC**
5 **Department of Energy Inspector General**
6 **Secretary of Energy Steven Chu**
7 **White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs**
8 **White House Advisor David Axelrod**
9 **California Attorney General Kamala Harris**
10 **Senator Barbara Boxer**
11 **California Secretary of State's Office**
12 **Social Security Administration, Office of the Inspector General**
13 **Rebecca Alery, Staffer to Congressman Emmer**
14 **Carly Atchison, Communications Director to Congressman Emmer**
15 **Trey Gowdy - U.S. Congress**
16 **Anti-trust Office, USDOJ**
17 **Whistleblowers.org**
18 **Abbey Rime, press organizer for Congressman Tom Rime**
19 **Citizen Complaint Center, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice**
20 **Premerger & Division Statistics, Office of Operations, Antitrust Division - USDOJ**
21 **ATVMIP Staff, Loan Programs Office, U.S. D.O.E.**
22 **Representative Kate Barlow**
23 **Angelia Bowman, Program Manager, US Department of Energy**
24 **Kate Braun, Office of Congressman Tom Emmer**
25 **Marc A. Cevasco, Chief of Staff, Congressman Ted W. Lieu**

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **Nate Riggins, Senior Staff, Congressman Ted W. Lieu**
2 **Inspector General - Social Security Administration**
3 **Jessica Chan, SEC**
4 **Director, Oak Ridge Clearinghouse, United States Department of Energy**
5 **Multiple Form 95 Federal Claims Forms that were stone-walled and never responded**
6 **to**
7 **Nicholas Banasevic, Head of Unit, EU Investigations**
8 **FTC High Technology Task Force**
9 **NHTSA Safety Board Chairman**
10 **Jennifer Decesaro, United States Department of Energy**
11 **Devin O'Malley, USDOJ**
12 **Office of the Special Counsel Case ID # DI-19-2009 and other case #'s**
13 **Melissa Darr, U.S. D.O.E.**
14 **Tricia Evans, United States Congress**
15 **Docket Branch, Office of Hearings and Appeals, OHA.Filings@hq.doe.gov**
16 **Paul Fitzpatrick, Chief of Staff, Congressman Mark Meadows (North Carolina - 11)**
17 **Mart Bailey, Business Partner to the Feinstein Family**
18 **Robert Simon - Investigative Reporter, CBS News 60 Minutes**
19 **Carol Leonnig - Investigative Reporter - Washington Post**
20 **Inspector General - Social Security Administration (in-person and in writing)**
21 **Legal offices - The White House (time-stamped and receipt acknowledged by his office in**
22 **writing)**
23 **rburnson@bloomberg.net (Receipt Confirmed)**
24
25
26 **dglovin@bloomberg.net (Receipt Confirmed)**
27
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **antitrust@ftc.gov (Receipt Confirmed)**

2
3 **Catherine McMullen - Office Of The Special Counsel <cmcmullen@osc.gov> (Multiple**
4 **communications)**

5
6 **Tracy Biggs - Office Of The Special Counsel <TBiggs@osc.gov> (Multiple communications)**

7
8 **Leslie Gogen - Office Of The Special Counsel <lgogan@osc.gov> (Multiple communications)**

9
10 **Herb Newman, Business Partner to the Feinstein Family**

11 **The FTC FOIA Unit**

12 **Frank Rusco- GAO Lead, at (202) 512-3841 or ruscof@gao.gov**

13 **Chris Morris, FOIA Officer, Department of Energy**

14 **Communications Office, CIA**

15 **Dhillon Law Group Inc.**

16 **Matthew Haskins At the office of Rep. Hurd**

17 **Bob Haueter, Chief of Staff, U.S. Congress**

18 **Keith Holloway, NTSB**

19 **hotline@oig.treas.gov**

20 **Hotline Coordinator, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Inspector General**

21 **Jessica Hartman at nara.gov**

22 **Gregory Krauss, Attorney, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Hearings and**
23 **Appeals**

24 **Kyle Yunaska, United States Department of Energy**

25 **Scott Lucarelli, FOIA Liaison, Office of the Chief Counsel, EMCBC, DOJ**

26 **Gosia Olczyk, Claes BENGTSSON,Friedrich Wenzel BULST, Cabinet of M. Vestager**

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **Cheryll Barton, SMA to Justine Johannes, Sandia National Laboratories**
2 **Alexander Morris, United States Department of Energy, Obama**
3 **Office of Policy and Coordination, Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission**
4 **Office for Civil Rights (OCR), 200 Independence Ave., SW, DC**
5 **OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES, National Archives &**
6 **Records Administration**
7 **Carole Richmond, Special Aide To Rep. Carter**
8 **Monique C. Winkler, Associate Regional Director for Enforcement, SEC**
9 **Olga E. Santiago Lugo, State Policy Advisor, USDOJ**
10 **Sunita Satyapal, USDOE Energy Programs**
11 **Sydney Schneir & Jennifer DeCesaro, DOE liaison officers**
12 **Agent Carina Schoenberger, US DOJ**
13 **Gabriela Sterling & Cody Laliberte at Rep. Walters office**
14 **The White House press office**
15 **Rick Perry, United States Department of Energy**
16 **Office of the Victims' Rights Ombudsman, USDOJ**
17 **Roman Vayner, Esq., CIPP/US,OIA, U.S. Department of Energy**
18 **California Victim Compensation Board**
19 **Judiciary Committee's Oversight and Investigations staff**
20 **witness@theguardian.com**
21 **Ray Yonkura At The U.S. Congress**
22 **And all other known agencies with any applicable authority....**
23 The problem with this process is the internal corruption within agencies who have been
24 allowed to operate without proper regulation or oversight for many years. The "SpyGate" or "FISA
25 Abuse" case in the current White House involves the abuse of public agencies to attack those they
26
27
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 are politically opposed to. Average citizen SSA, IRS, HUD and other applicants suffer the same
2 fate.

3 The San Mateo, California Social Security Administration offices are under investigation
4 because one, or more, of their staff used SSA resources to attack an Applicant because a staff
5 member (erroneously) thought that an Applicant was opposed to that SSA staffer's beliefs on "open-
6 borders". The SSA official ordered up reprisal operations, against the Applicant, simply to vent that
7 SSA officials political angers. That is illegal.
8

9 A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector
10 General's offices and federal courts.
11

12 It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders
13 from certain corrupt politicians. These actions are felony violations of the law.
14

15 Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, DOE, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC,
16 FBI, DOJ and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens.
17

18 In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the
19 U.S. Department of Energy LGP/ATVM programs, it is clearly proven that the U.S. Department of
Energy was used as a slush-fund by some DOE executives in order to pay off campaign financiers
by attacking and sabotaging their competitors.
20

21 The DOE Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with the Department of Energy
22 and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those
23 whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.
24

25 Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee
26 Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one
27 year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis
for attacks against him, by DOE officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.
28

VA officials attacked hundreds of citizens who reported corruption, ie:

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-
2 retribution.

3 As shown in this report: https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-
4 whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/

5 , Agencies attack often and harshly.

6 CIA and NSA executives have been widely shown to use spy tools to attack domestic
7 citizens they don't like, ie: https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-
8 used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html , and hundreds of other news
9 links that can be provided.
10

11 Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have been proven
12 to use the CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed attacks on business
13 competitors.

14 In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT
15 BEING USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND
16 THE MEDIA!
17

18 The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN
19 COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences.

20 Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too
21 to harm citizens who speak out?

22 The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In an unprecedented victorious
23 conclusion to a four year-long legal battle against the IRS, the bureaucratic agency admitted in
24 federal court that it wrongfully targeted citizens, during the Obama Administration, because of their
25 political viewpoints and issued an apology to those people for doing so.

26 In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it from ever engaging
27 in this form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future.
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 In a proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of
2 U.S. citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-exempt
3 status with the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations process.

4 Crucially, following years of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now
5 expressly admits that its treatment of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy..

6 As set forth in the proposed Order:

7 “The IRS admits that its treatment of Plaintiffs during the tax-exempt determinations
8 process, including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting
9 those applications to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Plaintiffs’
10 information that TIGTA determined was unnecessary to the agency’s determination of their tax-
11 exempt status, was wrong. For such treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.”

12 Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights
13 of the public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The
14 objective from the very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.

15 This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal
16 message that a government agency’s targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the
17 basis of political viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast.

18 The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against
19 citizens, as the agreement includes the IRS’s express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its
20 wrongful treatment of the public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices
21 from occurring again, rest assured that all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that
22 the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to such tactics in the future.

23 Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of
24 citizens and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for
25 tax-exempt status. Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 cases), and they began receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member
2 information. That began a now more than five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy
3 at the IRS. Then on May 10, 2013, Lois Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations
4 Division, publicly implicated the IRS in one of the worst political targeting scandals of the century.

5 This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful
6 warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution in order
7 to silence and shut down the whistle-blowers.
8

9 In addition to the IRS's admissions of and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent
10 Order would specifically award Plaintiffs the following:

11 - A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax code to any tax-
12 exempt applicant or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful positions it espouses on
13 any issues, or its associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement,
14 position or viewpoint;

15 - A declaration by the Court that any action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied
16 evenhandedly and not based solely on a tax-exempt applicant or entity's name, political viewpoint,
17 or associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or
18 viewpoint; and

19 - A declaration by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in
20 administering the United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights. Disparate
21 treatment of taxpayers based solely on the taxpayers' names, any lawful positions the taxpayers
22 espouse on any issues, or the taxpayers' associations or perceived associations with a particular
23 political movement, position or viewpoint is unlawful.

24 In the Order, the IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions
25 against the Plaintiffs– i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily
26 obtained by the IRS during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of
27

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 volunteers, political affiliations of an organization’s officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In addition,
2 the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory action against our clients for exposing the targeting
3 scheme.

4 Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on
5 their viewpoints (i.e., “policy positions”) and that such viewpoint discrimination violates
6 fundamental First Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting
7 scheme was not just “inappropriate” – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional.
8

9 To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency’s operations going forward, the IRS
10 is required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations
11 Division, as well as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the
12 Order’s terms.

13 This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt
14 Obama-era IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency
15 understands its obligation to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General
16 Sessions acknowledged in this regard, “[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS’s] conduct,” as it is
17 “without question” that the First Amendment prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e.,
18 subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment “based solely on their viewpoint or ideology.”
19 Sessions further confirmed his Department’s commitment to ensuring that the “abuse of power” in
20 which the IRS engaged here “will not be tolerated.”

21 It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory. This marks a years-long fight for
22 justice in defense of the constitutional rights of the public.
23

24 This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption.

25 It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to
26 violate the Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut
27 down those who speak out about political corruption crimes.
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog’s investigation into SSA staff allegations of
2 incompetence, misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several
3 employees have taken their complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.

4 An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and
5 Governmental Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and
6 other contacts from “certain people” inside the Social Security Administration’s Office of Disability
7 Adjudication and Review.

8 The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following
9 Wisconsin Watchdog’s opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than
10 1,000 days for an appeals decision on their disability benefits claim.

12 Following Wednesday’s story of a whistleblower in the Madison ODAR office, the
13 committee has received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source
14 said.

15 Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they
16 will “cooperate,” the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative.

18 “This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we’d like them to
19 be,” the source said. “Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can’t duck.”

20 A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special
21 Counsel on “multiple whistleblower retaliation claims.” The committee continues to request
22 information from the SSA.

23 The whistleblower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she
24 was called to testify in a misconduct case. The incident involved “inappropriate behavior” by an
25 administrative law judge, she said.

27 “They are so corrupt. It’s absolutely horrible,” said the woman, a lead case technician in the
28 Madison Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While
2 she said recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the
3 ODAR case worker insisted she has had enough.

4 “I’m at point where they don’t care about me, I don’t see why I’m protecting them. This is
5 my last resort,” she said. “I want to do my work without fear of retaliation.”

6 She said she has contacted the Senate committee.

7 “I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. They said people
8 are coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story,” the
9 whistle-blower said.

10 Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he
11 has been retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and
12 misconduct in the agency. The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided
13 Wisconsin Watchdog with documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing
14 their denied applications for benefits.

15 Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges
16 that Milwaukee ODAR has a “high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we
17 are working to address the issue.”

18 Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the “shell game,” the wholesale transferring of cases
19 to other parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office’s numbers look better
20 than they are.

21 The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym’s allegations, saying at one point she
22 saw 2,000 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation.

23 There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and
24 staff. There are over 185,000 charges filed by domestic citizens against all of the parties we listed in
25 our **reported-to** list above.

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

Damages And Harms List

"Applicant A" and his co-workers were cooperating with agency investigations into organized crime operating within government agencies. In order to discourage, threaten and intimidate "Applicant A", he and his peers were attacked with a \$30M+ state-sponsored reprisal attack which included the following attack tactics, operated by Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Psy-Ops type contractors and White House specialists, which caused quantifiable harms and damages for which "Applicant A" is owed compensation:

1.) Defrauding Applicants via fake government requests to invest in rigged government contracts, thus costing Plaintiff's their life savings;

2.) Placing moles in, and spying inside, Applicant's companies;

3.) Blockading legal counsel for plaintiffs;

4.) Character assassination and sophisticated contracted defamation media attacks;

5.) Defendants contracted off-shore "click-farm" and "troll-factory" processed social media attacks;

6.) Government benefits from SSA, HUD, etc, blockades and manipulations;

7.) Jobs and venture capital funding blacklisting;

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 8.) FOIA obfuscation for official government FOIA filings;

2

3 9.) Arbitrary government deadline manipulation for SSA, DOE, HUD and other applications;

4

5 10.) Creation of endless fake hurdles in agency applications (ie: DOE) to protect rigged "winners";

6

7 11.) Toxic workplace poisonings like the Salisbury, Nalvany, Litvinenko poisonings;

8

9

10 12.) Workplace sabotage and obstruction of Applicant's companies;

11

12 13.) Contracted media defamation attacks via Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Google, Youtube, etc.

13 Which have now had the payments for the attacks tracked through accounting systems

14

15 16.) Commercial employment database "Lois Lerner-ing" and red-flagging;

16

17

18 15.) Murders or forced deaths of peers (ie: Rajeev Motwani, Seth Rich, Gary D. Conley and 120+

19 others):

20

21 16.) Revenue blockades and internet income re-direction;

22

23

24 17.) Troll farm attack teams hired from Chinese attack farms;

25

26 18.) Fusion GPS, Media Matters, David Plouffe attack contracts issued targeting whistle-blowers;

27

28 19.) Manual search engine lock-in attacks on Google, YouTube, LinkedIn;

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1
2 20.) U.S. patent office manipulation to blockade revenue;
3
4 21.) Honey-traps sent out targeting the whistle-blowers;
5
6 22.) Fake news tabloid empires created just for defamation attacks;
7
8 23.) Housing access and financing blockades created to reprisal harass whistle-blowers;
9
10 24.) Ongoing hacking of plaintiffs devices;
11
12
13 25.) Tech industry black-list coordination within the National Venture Capital Association;
14
15
16 26.) HUD and USDA mortgage rights blockades:
17
18 27.) DNS and IP routing manipulation to prevent victims from selling anything online;
19
20 28.) Digital attacks designed to put horrific fake news about target in front of 7.5 billion people...
21
22
23 and more spy agency type "dirty tricks" that cost the victims their lives, life savings, income and
24 other disabling losses as detailed in the related support documents on the case website.
25
26
27
28

Plaintiffs have currently had their rights to legal representation and a trial blockaded by government officials who fear political embarrassment in a public trial of this case. In fact, history has proven that those officials have caused a thousand-fold increase in their own

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 **public media shame by delaying a settlement of this dispute!**
2
3
4

5 **Relief Options Demanded** 6 7

8 - Victim/plaintiff demands the provision of a state-sponsored court-provided law firm to
9 represent victim/plaintiff under the variances and human rights laws affording such provision of
services.
10

11 - The finding that this matter includes a violation of RICO racketeering laws and Anti-trust
laws by the combined organized efforts of the Defendants.
12

13 - Awarding Plaintiff compensatory including actual, consequential, and incidental damages
for malicious defamatory conduct as alleged herein in an amount to be determined at trial and in
14 excess of \$35,000,000 U.S. Dollars.
15

16 - Awarding punitive damages for Defendant's malicious defamatory conduct based on the
routine and accepted calculation of 5 percent of their invested current net worth of over \$63 billion
17 U.S. dollars. Thus, punitive damages are requested be awarded by the in an amount to exceed \$3
18 billion U.S. dollars, which amount of punitive damages are designed to sufficiently punish
19 Defendant in order that its illegal conduct not reoccur.
20

21 - Awarding Plaintiff attorney's fees and costs.
22

23 - Granting any such further relief as the Court deems appropriate including preliminary and
permanent injunctive relief.
24

25 - Damages in excess of \$225 million against Chu, Axelrod, Podesta, Carny, Biden, Emanuel,
Gibbs, Rattner and Seward, jointly and severally, for their violations of Plaintiff's civil rights.
26

27 - A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that
28 Plaintiff's Loan Program application was wrongfully denied and injunctive relief directing

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 Defendants to reconsider and/or approve same.

2 - A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) Plaintiff's
3 ATVM Loan Program application was wrongfully denied and injunctive relief directing Defendants
4 to reconsider and/or approve same without respect for political considerations.

5 - A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that the
6 rejection of Plaintiff's LGP application without recourse was unlawful, and injunctive relief
7 directing Defendants to accept and consider same without respect for political considerations.

8 - Such costs and attorney fees as Plaintiff's may be entitled to under law.

9 - Such other relief as this Court deems just.

10 - Plaintiff's have sent an invoice for "*informant and witness fees*" to the GAO, FBI, FTC
11 and SEC in the amount of \$5,000,000.00 per agency but have not had a response, relative to
12 payment, from those agencies.

16 Damages Amount Comparatives and Legal Precedents

18 Validations

21 Reference past payment examples:

22 - Campbell worked as an informant for federal authorities investigating Vadim Mikerin, a
23 Russian official in charge of U.S. operations for Tenex, a unit of Rosatom. Authorities later accused
24 Mikerin of taking bribes from a shipping company in exchange for contracts to transport Russian
25 uranium into the United States. He pleaded guilty in federal court in Maryland and was sentenced to
26 prison for four years. The Justice Department had also initially charged Mikerin with extorting
27 kickbacks from Campbell after hiring him as a \$50,000-a-month lobbyist. Prosecutors alleged
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 Mikerin had demanded Campbell pay between one-third and half of that money back to him each
2 month under threat of losing the contract and veiled warnings of violence from the Russians. The
3 demand prompted Campbell to turn to the FBI in 2010, which gave its blessing for him to remain
4 part of the scheme as a whistle-blower who was compensated for his efforts.

5 - The FBI has a Congressional docket which documents it's annual witness and informant
6 expenditures for the last 20 years. Those financial on-the-record documents show the average
7 payment for a high-ticket case RICO-type informant such as Plaintiff and are hereby placed in this
8 case record as references of payment standards.

9 - In a similar case, Plaintiff Terry Bollea (AKA: Hulk Hogan) was awarded \$145 million
10 dollars in damages because of character assassination efforts by the same parties. The attacks on
11 Plaintiffs exceeded the resources used against Terry Bollea by many magnitudes and thus, the \$145
12 million dollar figure would be a minimum damages figure for each Plaintiff in our case as each
13 Applicant was attacked in such a manner. Each of the parties attacked by Gawker/Gizmodo (who
14 worked for White House operatives) received at least \$170,000.00 in damages.

15 - The DOE Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with the Department of Energy
16 and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those
17 whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.

18 - Adam Lovinger, a 12-year veteran of the Pentagon's Office of Net Assessment (ONA),
19 filed a whistleblower reprisal complaint with the Defense Department's inspector general in May
20 against ONA boss James Baker and received compensation for his whistle-blower efforts.

21 - The FBI's informant in the Uranium One scandal involving the Obama administration gave
22 written testimony to three congressional committees this week in which he accused the Obama
23 administration of making decisions that directly benefited the Russian government and their goals
24 of gaining geopolitical advantages over the United States. The informant, Douglas Campbell, told
25 congressional investigators on Wednesday that Moscow sent millions of dollars to the U.S. with the
26
27 congressional investigators on Wednesday that Moscow sent millions of dollars to the U.S. with the
28

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 expectation that it would benefit the Clinton's, while Hillary Clinton "quarterbacked a 'reset' in US-
2 Russian relations" in her role as Secretary of State during the Obama administration, The Hill
3 reported. The FBI found Campbell's undercover work valuable enough to reward him with a
4 \$50,000 check in 2016.

5 - Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee
6 Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one
7 year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis
8 for attacks against him, by DOE officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.
9

10 - In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW, government agencies were caught being used for
11 attacks against citizens and punished in the court and the media! The IRS, and hordes of other
12 government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for
13 presumed political differences
14

15 - Some of the biggest evidence-of-loss examples are found in the financial records from
16 General Motors, Ford Motors, Nissan and Tesla Motors executives for the time-frame from Jan. 1,
17 2000 to today. Those records validate the income loss to Plaintiff.
18

19 - Over 400+ other cases decisions, settlement records and government payment precedents
20 are on file at <http://www.pacer.gov> validating the amount that Plaintiff should be compensated via
known and quantified precedents.
21

22 DATED this day of the filing of 2022
23

24 Respectfully submitted,
25

26 (SIGNED ELECTRONICALLY)
27

28 Name: SD Redmond
Address: 210 S. Ellsworth Ave, #1275
San Mateo, CA 94401
Phone Number: 510-868-2862
Pro Se – A federal witness

NorCal 9th District – 6.10.22 – EXHIBIT – DAMAGES CALCULATION METRICS - CASE NO.: 22-cv-1107-TSH

1 EXHIBITS AND PROOFS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE NUMBERED DOCUMENTS
2
3 (PAGES PAST THIS SENTENCE ARE INTENTIONALLY BLANK)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28